

## A note on $q$ -multiple zeta functions

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2001 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 L643

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/34/46/101>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.101

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 09:37

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

**A note on  $q$ -multiple zeta functions****Taekyun Kim**

Institute of Science Education, Kongju National University, Kongju 314-701, Korea

E-mail: tkim@kongju.ac.kr and taekyun64@hanmail.net

Received 12 September 2001

Published 9 November 2001

Online at [stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/34/L643](http://stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/34/L643)**Abstract**

The purpose of this Letter is to give the value of the  $q$ -multiple zeta function at negative integers, which is an answer to a part of the problem in a previous publication (Kim T, Park D-W and Rim S H 2001 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** 7633).

PACS number: 02.30.Gp

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11S80

**1. Introduction**

Let  $p$  be a fixed prime, and let  $\mathbb{C}_p$  denote the  $p$ -adic completion of the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . The  $p$ -adic absolute value in  $\mathbb{C}_p$  is normalized so that  $|p|_p = \frac{1}{p}$ . When one talks of  $q$ -extension,  $q$  is variously considered as an indeterminate, a complex number  $q \in \mathbb{C}$  or a  $p$ -adic number  $q \in \mathbb{C}_p$ . If  $q \in \mathbb{C}$ , then we normally assume  $|q| < 1$ , and when  $q \in \mathbb{C}_p$ , then we normally assume  $|q - 1|_p < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ , so that  $q^x = \exp(x \log q)$  for  $|x|_p \leq 1$ . We use the notation

$$[x] = [x : q] = \frac{1 - q^x}{1 - q} = 1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{x-1}.$$

In a recent paper (see [5]), we have considered the  $q$ -analogue of the multiple zeta function as follows. For  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|q| < 1$ , define

$$\zeta_q^{(h,k)}(s) = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{h(a_1+\dots+a_k)}}{[a_1 + \dots + a_k]^s} + (q-1) \frac{1-s+h}{1-s} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{h(a_1+\dots+a_k)}}{[a_1 + \dots + a_k]^{s-1}} \quad (1)$$

where  $h, k$  are positive integers.

However, we could not find the analogues of Bernoulli numbers which  $\zeta_q^{(h,k)}(s)$  can be viewed as interpolating at negative integers. This left this interpolation problem open, to find the analogues of Bernoulli numbers which  $\zeta_q^{(h,k)}(s)$  can be viewed as interpolating at negative integers (see [5]). This problem is of some interest in connection with speculations about the new multiple zeta function associated with the quantization of a bosonic non-Archimedean-valued field to be carried out in the functional integral formalism (cf [1–4]).

In this Letter, we construct the analogues of Bernoulli numbers, which is an answer to a part of the above problem (cf [5]).

**2. On the analogues of Bernoulli numbers**

In this section, we assume  $q \in \mathbb{C}_p$  with  $|1 - q|_p < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ . For  $d$  a fixed positive integer with  $(p, d) = 1$ , let

$$X = X_d = \varprojlim_N \mathbb{Z}/dp^N \mathbb{Z} \quad X_1 = \mathbb{Z}_p$$

$$X^* = \bigcup_{\substack{0 < a < dp \\ (a,p)=1}} a + dp \mathbb{Z}_p$$

$$a + dp^N \mathbb{Z}_p = \{x \in X \mid x \equiv a \pmod{dp^N}\}$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  lies in  $0 \leq a < dp^N$ .

An invariant  $p$ -adic  $q$ -integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  of a uniformly differentiable function  $f$  was defined by

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\mu_q(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{[p^N]} \sum_{0 \leq j < p^N} f(j)q^j \quad (\text{cf [5-8]}). \tag{2}$$

For  $h, k \in \mathbb{N} = \{\text{the set of natural numbers}\}$ , we consider the analogues of Bernoulli numbers by making use of  $p$ -adic  $q$ -integrals as follows:

$$\beta_m(h, k : q) = \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p}}_{k \text{ times}} [x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_k]^m q^{(h-1)\sum_{i=0}^k x_i} d\mu_q(x_1) \cdots d\mu_q(x_k). \tag{3}$$

Note that  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \beta(1, 1 : q) = B_m$ , where  $B_m$  are the  $m$ th ordinary Bernoulli numbers (see [7]).

**Theorem 1.** For  $m \geq 0, h, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\beta_m(h, k : q) = \frac{1}{(1 - q)^m} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (-1)^j \left( \frac{j + h}{[j + h]} \right)^k. \tag{4}$$

**Proof.** We see

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{1 - q}{1 - q^{p^N}} \right)^k \sum_{a_1=0}^{p^N-1} \cdots \sum_{a_k=0}^{p^N-1} [a_1 + \cdots + a_k]^m q^{h(a_1 + \cdots + a_k)} \\ &= \left( \frac{1 - q}{1 - q^{p^N}} \right)^k \frac{1}{(1 - q)^m} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_k=0}^{p^N-1} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (-1)^j q^j \sum_{i=1}^k a_i q^h \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - q)^m} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (-1)^j \underbrace{\left( \frac{1 - q}{1 - q^{p^N}} \right)^k \frac{1 - q^{(j+h)p^N}}{1 - q^{j+h}} \cdots \frac{1 - q^{(j+h)p^N}}{1 - q^{j+h}}}_{k \text{ times}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q^{p^n} = 1$  for  $|1 - q|_p < 1$ , our assertion follows. □

Let  $G^{(h,k)}(t)$  be the generating function of  $\beta(h, k : q)$  as follows:

$$G^{(h,k)}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n(h, k : q) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{5}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 G^{(h,k)}(t) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{(1-q)^l} \sum_{i=0}^l \binom{l}{i} (-1)^i \left( \frac{i+h}{[i+h]} \right)^k \right) \frac{t^l}{l!} \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{j+h}{[j+h]} \right)^k \frac{(-1)^j}{(1-q)^j} \frac{t^j}{j!} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1-q} \right)^i \frac{t^i}{i!} \\
 &= e^{\frac{t}{1-q}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{j+h}{[j+h]} \right)^k \frac{(-1)^j}{(1-q)^j} \frac{t^j}{j!}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Note that

$$q^h G^{(h,1)}(qt)e^t - t = G^{(h,1)}(t). \tag{7}$$

By (5) and (7), we have

$$q^h (q\beta(h, 1 : q) + 1)^m - \beta_m(h, 1 : q) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } m > 1 \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

where we use the usual convention about replacing  $\beta^i(h, 1 : q)$  by  $\beta_i(h, 1 : q)$  ( $i \geq 0$ ).

### 3. $q$ -multiple zeta functions

In this section, we assume  $q \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|q| < 1$ . To give the analogues of Bernoulli numbers which  $\zeta_q^{(h,k)}(s)$  can be viewed as interpolating at negative integers, we need to modify the numbers  $\beta_m(h, k : q)$  as follows:

$$B_m(h, k : q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{m+k-1}} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (-1)^j \frac{j+h}{[j+h]^k}. \tag{9}$$

It is easy to see that  $\beta_m(h, 1 : q) = B_m(h, 1 : q)$ .

Let  $F^{(h,k)}(t)$  be the generating function of  $B_m(h, k : q)$ :

$$F^{(h,k)}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(h, k : q) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

By the same method as (6), we easily see

$$F^{(h,k)}(t) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{k-1}} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{i+h}{[i+h]^k} \left( \frac{1}{q-1} \right)^i \frac{t^i}{i!} \right) e^{\frac{t}{1-q}}. \tag{10}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 F^{(h,k)}(t) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{(1-q)^{m+k-1}} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (-1)^j \frac{j+h}{[j+h]^k} \right) \frac{t^m}{m!} \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( -m \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_k=0}^{\infty} q^{h \sum_{i=1}^k a_i} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \right]^{m-1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - (q-1)(m+h) \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_k=0}^{\infty} q^{h \sum_{i=1}^k a_i} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \right]^m \right) \frac{t^m}{m!}
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where  $\left[ \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \right]^m = [a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k]^m$ .

Differentiating both sides with respect to  $t$  in (11) and comparing coefficients, we obtain the following:

**Theorem 2.** For  $m \geq 0$ ,  $h, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$B_m(h, k : q) = -m \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_k=0}^{\infty} q^{h \sum_{i=1}^k a_i} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \right]^{m-1} - (q-1)(m+h) \\ \times \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_k=0}^{\infty} q^{h \sum_{i=1}^k a_i} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \right]^m$$

that is

$$-\frac{B_m(h, k : q)}{m} = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_k=0}^{\infty} q^{h \sum_{i=1}^k a_i} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \right]^{m-1} + (q-1) \frac{(m+h)}{m} \\ \times \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_k=0}^{\infty} q^{h \sum_{i=1}^k a_i} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \right]^m.$$

By theorem 2, note that

$$\zeta_q^{(h,k)}(1-m) = -\frac{B_m(h, k : q)}{m} \quad \text{for } m \geq 1$$

which is an answer to a part of the problem in [5].

This work was supported by a Korean Research Foundation grant (KRF-99-005-D00026).

## References

- [1] Albeverio S, Bayod J M, Perez-Garcia C, Cianci R and Khrennikov A Yu 1999 *Acta Appl. Math.* **57** 205
- [2] Dubischar D, Gundlach V M, Steinkamp O and Khrennikov A Yu 1999 *Nuovo Cimento B* **114** 373
- [3] Khrennikov A Yu 1994 *p-adic Valued Distributions in Mathematical Physics* (Dordrecht: Kluwer)
- [4] Khrennikov A Yu 1997 *Non-Archimedean Analysis: Quantum Paradoxes, Dynamical Systems and Biological Models* (Dordrecht: Kluwer)
- [5] Kim T, Park D-W and Rim S H 2001 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** 7633
- [6] Kim T 1998 Multiple zeta values, di-zeta values and their applications *Lecture Notes in Number Theory* (Kyungnam University) (Gu-Duk)
- [7] Kim T and Rim S H 2001 *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Math.* vol 7 (Sofia: Academic)
- [8] Murphy G J 2001 *Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics* vol 3 (Pusan: Ku-Deok) p 31